

Sh. Yashwant Rai Puri,
Chamber No-44, District Court Complex,
Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Health and Family Welfare,
Pb, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3895 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the appellant
Sh.Manjinderpreet Singh Incharge RTI for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 24.09.2020 has sought information regarding details of employees of all kinds on contract basis or permanent (except 4th class) joined after transferring from any other cities from 01.12.2019 till date along with names and address & designation of employees and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Surgeon Ferozepur. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 21.10.2020 after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 26.10.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 04.08.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Ferozepur. The respondent present pleaded that they received the RTI application on 03.10.2020 and the information was sent to the appellant on 21.10.2020. However, since the appellant was not satisfied with the information and filed the first appeal, the corrected information was again sent to the appellant on 01.03.2021 and 28.07.2021 with a copy to the Commission.

The appellant was absent. The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies to the PIO with a copy to the Commission and the PIO is directed to sort out the same.

Hearing dated 11.11.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka/Ferozepur.

The respondent informed that the information has already been supplied and the appellant has not communicated any deficiency.

The appellant is absent on 2nd consecutive hearing nor is represented to pursue his case as well as not communicated any discrepancies. It is presumed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated:11.11.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Parshotam Kumar, S/o Sh. Jagdish Raj,
R/o H No-498, Street Baba Bachan Dass,
Jalalabad, Distt Fazilka.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Food and Drug Administration,
Kharar, Distt Mohali.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 941 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
Sh.Kulwant Singh, Sr. Assistant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 20.12.2019 has sought information regarding license number and date of issue of license against applicant's application dated 17.05.2011 – a copy of objection if any raised for the issue of license – name & address of the officer responsible for delay in issue of license and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Food & Drug Administration Kharar. The complainant was not provided with the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 10.12.2020.

The case last came up for hearing on 06.05.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. Both the parties were absent. The case was adjourned.

On the date of the last hearing on **03.08.2021**, the respondent present pleaded that the application of the appellant dated 17.05.2011 was not available in their record and could have been misplaced during shifting of the record from the office of Director Health & Family Welfare, Punjab, Sector 34 to Food and Drug Administration, Kharar. The Commission also received a reply of the PIO on 29.07.2021 which was taken on the file of the Commission.

As per the appellant, the application was acknowledged by the office of the Food and Drug Administration vide diary No.488.

Since the appellant provided evidence, the PIO was given one more opportunity to trace the record and provide the information to the appellant. It was mentioned that the Commission will not consider the record missing until an enquiry is conducted and the enquiry report is submitted which establishes that the record is missing and the responsibility has been fixed for the persons under whose custody the record went missing.

Hearing dated 11.11.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today video conferencing at DAC Mohali/ Fazilka. As per respondent, the information has been provided and the appellant has received the same.

The appellant is absent and vide email has informed that he has received the information and his appeal case be closed.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated:11.11.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Rajinder Singh,
H No-829/1, Sector-41-A,
Chandigarh.
Sh Naresh Sharma,
H No-1121, Sector-29-B,
Chandigarh.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Executive Director,
Scheduled Castes Land Development and
Financial Corporation, Sector-17,
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Executive Director,
Scheduled Castes Land Development and
Financial Corporation, Sector-17,
Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 939 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Naresh Sharma as the Appellant
Sh.Gurpinder Singh-PIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 14.09.2020 has sought information regarding retirement dues of employees retired from the year 2010 to the year 2020 and retirement dues of employees as per court decision – arrears paid to suspended employees and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of the Executive Director, Scheduled Castes Land Development and Financial Corporation Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 24.11.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 12.07.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. As per the appellant, the PIO was not provided with the information.

The respondent was absent and vide letter received in the Commission on 06.07.2021 sought exemption due to corona positive.

The Commission also received a reply from the PIO on 22.06.2021 which was taken on the file of the Commission. It was mentioned in the letter that the information being 3rd party, cannot be provided and the reply was sent to the appellant on 21.10.2020. Thereafter, the appellant filed the first appeal on 24.11.2020. The first appellate authority vide letter dated 11.02.2020 asked the appellant to appear before it on 16.02.2021 to plead his case but the appellant did not turn up. The appellant was given another opportunity of hearing before the First Appellate Authority on 03.03.2021 & 25.03.2021 but the appellant did not appear.

Having gone through the RTI application, the PIO was directed to provide the total number of employees retired from 2010 to 2020 and the total amount of retirement dues paid to them.

Hearing dated 11.11.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. As per the respondent, the information has been provided.

The appellant has received the information and is satisfied with the provided information.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated : 11.11.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner